# Primavera Technical Learning Center Chandler, Arizona

Report

June 30, 2014

# Primavera Technical Learning Center Chandler, Arizona

Independent Auditor's Reports, Financial Statements, and Supplemental Information

June 30, 2014

# Primavera Technical Learning Center Table of Contents June 30, 2014

Independent Auditor's Report on Financial Statements	1 - 2
Statement of Financial Position as of June 30, 2014	3
Statement of Activities for the Year Ended June 30, 2014	4
Statement of Cash Flows for the Year Ended June 30, 2014	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6 - 10
Schedule of Functional Expenses (Supplemental Information)	11
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements  Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	12
Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	13



Daniel E. Klecka, CPA Harry F. Wilkins III, CPA Michael J. Klecka, CPA

635 East Maryland Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85012

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors
Primavera Technical Learning Center

#### Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Primavera Technical Learning Center (an Arizona nonprofit corporation) which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2014, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Primavera Technical Learning Center as of June 30, 2014, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matter

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The schedule of functional expenses on page 11 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 14, 2014, on our consideration of Primavera Technical Learning Center's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Primavera Technical Learning Center's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

flacker, within : 4

Phoenix, Arizona November 14, 2014

Statement of Financial Position June 30, 2014

#### **ASSETS**

Current Assets Cash and Cash Equivalents Investments Grants and Funds Receivable, Net of \$0 Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Prepaid Expenses	\$ 14,048,463 36,143,206 512,137 52,483
Total Current Assets	50,756,289
Property and Equipment, Net	6,269,440
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 57,025,729
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	
Current Liabilities	
Accounts Payable	\$ 44,975
Accrued Expenses	717,349
Unearned Revenue Due to State	11,587,692
Total Current/Total Liabilities	12,350,016
Net Assets	
Unrestricted	42,749,427
Temporarily Restricted	1,926,286
Total Net Assets	44,675,713
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	\$ 57,025,729

# Statement of Activities For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

	Temporarily		
	Unrestricted	Restricted	Total
D	Net Assets	Net Assets	Net Assets
Revenues and Gains			
State of Arizona Equalization Funds	\$ 29,739,576	\$ -	\$ 29,739,576
Other State Revenues	1,155,059	1,582,273	2,737,332
Federal Awards	364,095	-	364,095
Interest and Dividend Income	1,135,714	_	1,135,714
Change in Fair Market Value of Investments	1,864,412	-	1,864,412
Other Revenues	15,472	-	15,472
Net Assets Released From Restrictions	1,005,733	(1,005,733)	
Total Revenues	35,280,061	576,540	35,856,601
Expenses and Losses			
Program	23,634,171	-	23,634,171
Management and General	5,102,044		5,102,044
Total Expenses	28,736,215		28,736,215
Increase in Net Assets	6,543,846	576,540	7,120,386
Net Assets at June 30, 2013	36,205,581	1,349,746	37,555,327
Net Assets at June 30, 2014	\$ 42,749,427	\$ 1,926,286	\$ 44,675,713

# Statement of Cash Flows For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

Cash Flows Provided (Used) by Operating Activities:		
Increase in Net Assets	\$	7,120,386
Adjustments to Reconcile Change in Net Assets to Net Cash from Operating Activities:		, ,
Depreciation		509,978
Change in Fair Market Value of Investment Accounts		(2,826,330)
Changes in Assets and Liabilities:		,
Grants and Funds Receivable		183,281
Accounts Payable		(6,381,020)
Prepaid Expenses		(52,483)
Unearned Revenue Due to State		2,920,750
Accrued Expenses		(343,018)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	*****	1,131,544
Cash Flows Used by Investing Activities:		
Purchase of Investments		(2,000,000)
Purchase of Property and Equipment		(2,783,353)
Net Cash Used by Investing Activities		(4,783,353)
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(3,651,809)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at June 30, 2013		17,700,272
Cash and Cash Equivalents at June 30, 2014	\$	14,048,463

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

#### **NOTE 1 - NATURE OF OPERATIONS:**

Primavera Technical Learning Center (the School) is an Arizona non-profit corporation formed in February 2001. The School agreed to a fifteen year charter with the Arizona State Board for Charter Schools (ASBCS). The charter is subject to renewal June 30, 2016 with the consent of both parties. The School began operations as a classroom-based charter high school in Chandler, Arizona in August 2001. In 2003, the ASBCS approved the School's application to begin an internet-based campus providing online instruction. The online program is authorized to serve the educational needs of all students in sixth through twelfth grades in both metropolitan and rural Arizona, and is ideally suited to educate students who are at risk of not graduating, from those with behavioral issues, those identified as dropouts, those who are pregnant and/or teen parents, those adjudicated as youthful offenders, and those with a poor academic standing as demonstrated by their failure to maintain with their cohort group. The School served 15,454 individual students during the 2013-14 school year.

# NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The financial statements use the accrual method of accounting and the presentation follows the recommendations for the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (FASB ASC) in FASB ASC 958. Under FASB ASC 958, the School is required to report information regarding its financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted net assets, temporarily restricted net assets, and permanently restricted net assets. The School has evaluated subsequent events through November 14, 2014, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, all unrestricted highly liquid investments with an initial maturity of three months or less and not held in investment accounts are considered to be cash equivalents.

#### Investments

Investments are reported on the statement of financial position at fair value based on quoted market prices. Investment income and realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments are recognized on the statement of activities as increases or decreases in unrestricted net assets. Investment earnings are reported net of related expenses, such as custodial, commission, and investment advisory fees.

#### Grants and Funds Receivable

The School recognizes income from funds provided by the state of Arizona in connection with its charter agreements. Due to the concentrated nature of the School's income sources and historical collectibility trends, the School does not provide an allowance for grants or funds receivable.

#### **Property and Equipment**

Leasehold improvements with a cost of \$3,000 or more and furniture and equipment with both a cost of \$300 or more and an estimated life of one year or more are capitalized. Assets are stated at cost or fair market value at date of gift, if contributed. Depreciation is calculated over the estimated useful lives of the assets on a straight-line basis of five years for furniture and equipment; fifteen years for buildings and improvements; and three to five years for software.

Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. The costs of additions and improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful lives of the assets. The costs and accumulated depreciation of assets sold or retired are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is recognized in the year of disposal.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

# NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued):

#### **Revenue Recognition**

The School recognizes revenue as earned calculated using a "base support level" determined by the Arizona Department of Education. The "base support level" is a calculation using student counts as a basis for allocating equalization funds to the School such that the School is funded at an amount approximating the amount which public schools in the same district receive on a per pupil basis. State equalization funds are allocated on a fiscal year that ends June 30 of each year and are paid to the School on a periodic basis throughout the school year.

#### **Contributions**

The School follows FASB ASC 958 for contributions. Contributions received are recorded as unrestricted, temporarily restricted or permanently restricted support depending on the existence and/or nature of any donor restrictions. All donor restricted support is reported as an increase in temporarily or permanently restricted net assets depending on the nature of the restriction. When a donor restriction expires; that is, when a stipulated time restriction ends or purpose restriction is accomplished, temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified to unrestricted net assets and reported in the statement of activity as net assets released from restriction. Donor restricted contributions whose restrictions are met in the same reporting period are reported as unrestricted support.

#### **Income Taxes**

The School qualifies as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. The School is not a private foundation. The School has adopted FASB ASC Topic 740-10, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. For those benefits to be recognized, a tax position must be more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. For the year ended June 30, 2014, the School had no material uncertain tax positions to be accounted for in the financial statements under the rules, and had no interest or penalties assessed by income taxing authorities. Management believes that the School is no longer subject to income tax examinations for years prior to the year ended June 30, 2011.

#### Advertising

Advertising and marketing costs are expensed as incurred. For the year ended June 30, 2014, the School incurred \$2,422,625 of advertising expense.

#### **Functional Expenses**

Expenses are charged directly to program or management and general categories based on direct expenditures incurred. All expenditures not directly chargeable are allocated based on personnel activity.

#### **NOTE 3 – RESTRICTED ASSETS:**

Temporarily restricted net assets consist of Arizona Classroom Site Funds (CSF) to be used solely at school sites, with purpose restrictions described in A.R.S. §15-977. If funds provided are not expended for these particular purposes in any given year, they must be carried forward to be used for these purposes in later years. The cash carried forward to future years was \$1,926,286 at June 30, 2014, all of which was available only for teacher compensation increases based on performance.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

# NOTE 4 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS:

The following methods and assumptions were used by the School in estimating the fair value of other financial instruments, which consist of investments and custodial funds. As defined in FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). The School utilizes market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable. The School primarily applies the market approach for recurring fair value measurements and endeavors to utilize the best available information.

FASB ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value and maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the observable inputs be used when available. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to measurements involving significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of fair value hierarchy are as follows:

#### Level 1 Inputs

Valuation based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that a reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date, and where transactions occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

#### Level 2 Inputs

Valuation based on inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, that is markets in which there are few transactions, prices are not current, or prices vary substantially over time.

#### Level 3 Inputs

Valuation based on inputs that are unobservable for an asset or liability and shall be used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date.

The School's financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized by input levels at June 30, 2014 as follows:

Investment	F	air Value	Acti	noted Prices in ive Markets for entical Assets (Level 1)	Obse	Significant Other ervable Inputs (Level 2)
Money Market Funds	\$	3,136,387	\$	3,136,387	\$	-
Government Obligations		2,697,608		2,697,608		-
Corporate Bonds		7,159,530		-		7,159,530
Equities		2,643,192		2,643,192		· · ·
Mortgage-Backed Securities		509,782		-		509,782
Real Estate Investment Trusts		2,081,489		-		2,081,489
Mutual Funds:						•
Municipal Bonds		1,478,112		1,478,112		_
Corporate Bonds		10,346,133		10,346,133		-
Equities	<del></del>	6,090,973		6,090,973		
Total	\$	36,143,206	\$	26,392,405	\$	9,750,801

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

# NOTE 4 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued):

The School's income from investments at June 30, 2014 is summarized as follows:

Investment	Income
THYCOUNTER	HILOUHIC.

Interest and Dividends	\$	1,131,925
Management Fees		(170,008)
Fair Value Market Change	<u></u>	1,864,412
Net Investment Income	\$	2,826,329

For assets managed by an investment company, transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 are deemed to have occurred effective on the date that the company reports that an asset was purchased or sold. During the year ended June 30, 2014, the School transferred \$509,782 from Level 1 investments to Level 2 investments in order to balance portfolio risk with investment return risk. Level 2 assets are priced at the price reported by the investment company.

#### NOTE 5 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:

Depreciation expense for the year ended June 30, 2014 was \$509,978. Property and equipment consisted of the following at June 30, 2014:

\$ 6,269,440
 (2,077,982)
8,347,422
 153,790
37,623
1,528,596
1,788,855
53,835
2,471,431
\$ 2,313,292
\$

#### **NOTE 6 – FUNDS DUE TO STATE:**

The School receives state equalization payments during the year based on estimates of student counts. When total payments for student attendance exceed earned payments, ADE withholds the amount ratably from equalization revenue during the course of the two years subsequent to the overpayment.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

# NOTE 7 – CONCENTRATIONS OF RISK:

#### Revenue Sources

Substantially all of the School's revenues for the year ended June 30, 2014 were derived from funds passed through by Arizona state agencies. Collection from the State of Arizona is reasonably assured, provided that the School complies with contract terms stipulated in its contract with the ASBCS. The School's compliance with certain laws and regulations is subject to review by the Arizona Department of Education and the ASBCS. Although such reviews could result in a reduction of state equalization assistance, in the opinion of the School's management, any reductions that may result are not expected to be significant. Changes in state funding levels for charter schools could have a significant impact on the School's ability to operate.

#### Cash

The School maintains a substantial balance in its checking account deposits. During the year ended June 30, 2014, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insured \$250,000 of the School's deposits.

#### **Investments**

The School maintains a substantial balance in its investment account. The Securities Investor Protection Corporation insures \$500,000 of the School's account against the insolvency of the School's broker.

# NOTE 8 – LEASE AND CURRICULUM AGREEMENTS:

# American Virtual Academy (Related Party)

The School contracts with The American Virtual Academy, Inc. (AVA) (formerly the Institute for Educational Development), a company owned by the School's founder and CEO, for software and curriculum services. The license contract calls for a single annual price paid in monthly installments for curriculum services. The price is independent of the number of students enrolled or classes available for enrollment. The transfer price was last determined in December 2012 by an accounting firm in accordance with IRC §482. The result of the study showed that the lower and upper quartile annual transfer prices were calculated between \$14,794,363 and \$16,092,484. The term of the contract is continuous until terminated by either the School or AVA with a ninety day notice. During the year ended June 30, 2014, the School recognized \$12,225,808 in licensing and database expenses, and paid AVA \$18,644,328 including current and past due fees.

Certain vendors of the School request payments from AVA for expenses incurred by the School. The School regularly reimburses and requests reimbursement from AVA for such expenses. At June 30, 2014, the School owed AVA \$266,897 for amounts paid by AVA for School expenses. Such amounts are included in accounts payable.

#### NOTE 9 - SAVINGS PLAN:

The School sponsors a retirement plan trust called the Primavera Technical Learning Center Savings Plan (the "plan"). The plan covers most School employees, whose contributions to the plan are generally tax-deferred. As of June 30, 2014, eligible employees may contribute up to \$17,500 of compensation annually, and eligible employees over age 50 may contribute an additional \$5,500. The School accrued contributions of approximately \$60,000 to the plan under a matching program during the year ended June 30, 2014, all of which was accrued to the plan at year ende.

Schedule of Functional Expenses Supplemental Information For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

	Program Expenses	Management and General Expenses	Total
Personnel Services		***************************************	
Salaries	\$ 8,627,912	\$ 1,404,544	\$ 10,032,456
Payroll Taxes and Benefits	1,010,687	164,530	1,175,217
Total Personnel Services	9,638,599	1,569,074	11,207,673
Operating Expenses			
Software Licensing Fees	12,225,808	-	12,225,808
Advertising	-	2,422,625	2,422,625
Professional Fees	179,809	414,070	593,879
Supplies	524,041	108,780	632,821
Telephone	236,909	38,567	275,476
Occupancy	164,214	26,733	190,947
Depreciation	438,581	71,397	509,978
Travel	226,210	6,416	232,626
Insurance	-	53,382	53,382
Other Expenses	-	391,000	391,000
Total Operating Expenses	13,995,572	3,532,970	17,528,542
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL EXPENSES	\$ 23,634,171	\$ 5,102,044	\$ 28,736,215



Daniel E. Klecka, CPA Harry F. Wilkins III, CPA Michael I. Klecka, CPA

635 East Maryland Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85012

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors Primavera Technical Learning Center

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Primavera Technical Learning Center (an Arizona nonprofit corporation), which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2014, and the related statements of activities, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 14, 2014.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Primavera Technical Learning Center's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Primavera Technical Learning Center's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Primavera Technical Learning Center's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the School's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Phoenix, Arizona
November 14, 2014
Phone (602) 266-

Phone (602) 266-4318 • FAX (602) 279-4149



Daniel E. Klecka, CPA Harry F. Wilkins III, CPA Michael J. Klecka, CPA 635 East Maryland Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85012

#### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Board of Directors
Primavera Technical Learning Center

We have performed the procedures included in the Legal Compliance Questionnaire of the Arizona State Board for Charter Schools and enumerated below, which were agreed to by Primavera Technical Learning Center and the Arizona State Board for Charter Schools (ASBCS), solely to assist you and the ASBCS in evaluating management's assertion about Primavera Technical Learning Center's compliance with the requirements of applicable state law, regulations of the ASBCS, and provisions of your charter contract as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014 and for testing dates specified by us. Primavera Technical Learning Center's management is responsible for compliance with all laws, regulations issued by ASBCS, and provisions of its charter contract. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

- We obtained sufficient evidence necessary to complete the Legal Compliance Questionnaire as required by the ASBCS.
- 2. We completed the Legal Compliance Questionnaire as required by the ASBCS by marking either "Yes" or "No" or "N/A" for each question.
- 3. For all noncompliance, material or otherwise, requiring a "No" answer to a question in the questionnaire, we included an explanatory note in the questionnaire.

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accompanying compliance questionnaire. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended for the information and use of management, others within the School, federal and state awarding agencies, pass-through entities and the Arizona State Board for Charter Schools and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Jeacho, within + furto

Phoenix, Arizona November 14, 2014

Phone (602) 266-4318 • FAX (602) 279-4149



# Arizona State Board for Charter Schools Legal Compliance Questionnaire Primavera Technical Learning Center Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014

# **Table of Contents**

<u>Fopic</u> <u>P</u>	Page
NSTRUCTIONS	2
PERSONNEL	4
REQUIRED FILINGS	5
SPECIAL EDUCATION	
CLASSROOM SITE FUND	6
STUDENT ATTENDANCE REPORTING	7
OPEN MEETING LAW	12
NSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	12
TUITION	13
RECORDS MANAGEMENT	13
SIGNATURE PAGE	12

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

NOTE: This questionnaire should only be used for charters that are exempt from the Uniform System of Financial Records for Arizona Charter Schools (charters that HAVE an exception). If a charter is subject to procurement requirements pursuant to A.R.S. §§15-189.02 and 41-2535(A), this questionnaire should be used in conjunction with the Procurement Compliance Questionnaire (see audit guidelines dated May 12, 2014) which is available on the Arizona State Board for Charter Schools' website <a href="http://asbcs.az.gov">http://asbcs.az.gov</a>.

In order to determine whether a charter that is exempt from the requirements of the Uniform System of Financial Records for Arizona Charter Schools (USFRCS) is complying with applicable legal requirements, the auditors must complete the following Legal Compliance Questionnaire in accordance with both the agreed upon procedures (instructions contained herein) and the attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. (Note: This questionnaire is not comprehensive of all legal requirements for charter schools. As such, this document should not be the sole reference to determine all laws and regulations that are applicable to charter schools).

The following prescribed minimum agreed upon procedures, as well as those identified throughout the questionnaire, must be used for completing the Legal Compliance Questionnaire in accordance with the attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The State Board for Charter Schools may reject questionnaires not meeting these standards.

- Sufficient, appropriate evidence must be obtained annually for each question to satisfactorily determine whether the charter complies with the legal requirements, and the evidence must be included in the documentation.
- Evidence may be obtained through test work, observation, examination, and client assertion. However, client assertion alone is not adequate evidence to support "Yes" answers to the questionnaire.
- Population size should be considered in determining the number of items to test, and the items selected should be representative of the population.
- The number of items tested must be sufficient to determine whether a deficiency was the result of an isolated incident or a recurring problem. Therefore, testing one transaction, record, or item is not sufficient.
- The sample size should be expanded if the audit firm cannot clearly determine whether the charter complies with the legal requirements of the question.

5/14

- If sufficient evidence has been obtained and documented during the current audit, that evidence may be referenced to answer questions.
- All "No" and "N/A" answers must be adequately explained in the comments column or in an attachment. Findings must be described in sufficient detail to enable the State Board for Charter Schools to describe the finding in a letter. The description should include the number of items tested and the number of exceptions noted.
- ◆ A "Yes" answer indicates that the audit firm has determined that the charter complies with the legal requirements of the question and a "No" answer indicates the charter does not comply. However, the final determination of compliance on each question, as well as overall compliance with legal requirements, is made by the State Board for Charter Schools based on the evidence presented in the questionnaire, audit reports, resulting documentation, and any other sources.

The resulting documentation supporting the audit firm's answers to the Legal Compliance Questionnaire must be made available on request for review by the State Board for Charter Schools. To facilitate this review, the audit firm may wish to include in the documentation a copy of the questionnaire containing references to procedures performed for each question.

# Legal Compliance Questionnaire<sup>1</sup>

Questions/Subject Area	Yes/No	Comments
Personnel		
1. Did the school have valid fingerprint clearance cards (FCC) for		
100% of the required personnel as of the testing date? A.R.S.	Yes	
§15-183 (C)(5) and A.R.S. §15-512(H)		
(QUESTIONS #2a THROUGH 2c ONLY APPLY TO NEW HIRES		
REQUIRED PURSUANT TO A.R.S. §15-183(C)(5) TO HAVE VALID		
FCCS AND DO NOT APPLY IF AN INDIVIDUAL'S FCC HAS		
EXPIRED.)	i i	
2. For each individual referenced in #1 that did not have a valid		
FCC, please provide the following information (provide		
supplemental pages, if necessary) (See agency guidance		
available on the Board's website prior to completing these		
questions)A.R.S. §15-183(C)(5):		
a. Was an application for a FCC on file with the Department of	N/A	All required personnel
Public Safety (DPS) as of the testing date?		had FCCs.
b. Did DPS receive the application prior to the hire date?	N/A	All required personnel
		had FCCs.
c. Prior to placement, did the school do all of the following?		
i) Document the necessity for hiring/placing the	N/A	All required personnel
individual prior to receiving a FCC?	11,11	had FCCs.
ii) Obtain statewide criminal history information on the	N/A	All required personnel
individual?	11/11	had FCCs.
iii) Obtain references from the applicant's current and	N/A	All required personnel
previous employers?	- 1, - 1	had FCCs.
3. Did the charter school maintain up-to-date fingerprints of all		
Governing Body members as of the testing date? Charter	Yes	
Contract <sup>2</sup>		
4. Were all other personnel fingerprint checked as of the	Yes	
testing date? A.R.S. §15-183 (C)(5) and A.R.S. §15-512	i	
5. Did the charter school inform the parents and guardians of		
pupils enrolled in the school of the availability of resume	Yes	
information for all employees who provide instruction to		
pupils? A.R.S. §15-183 (F)		

For the purposes of this questionnaire, please note that "Governing Body" means the group of persons required by A.R.S. §15-183(E)(8) that is responsible for policy decisions of the charter school. The term "Governing Board" means the group of persons that the charter holder has assigned the responsibility addressed by the question. The applicable group of persons may be the Governing Body or the officers, directors, members or partners of the charter holder. The applicable group of persons may vary depending on the issue addressed by the question.

<sup>2</sup> Specific contract cites could not be provided as term references vary per contract year.

	Yes/No	Comments
uired Filings		
I. Is the school in good standing with the following regulatory		
bodies:		
a. Internal Revenue Service U.S.C. Title 26		
i. For payroll taxes, income taxes (if applicable) and		
applicable tax forms required to be filed during the	Yes	
audited fiscal year?		
ii. The school did not have any payroll or income taxes		
payable from a prior year(s) as of audited fiscal year end	Yes	
(June 30th) is a true statement.		
iii. If the response to 1.a.i, 1.a.ii, or both is "no", does the		
school have a payment plan in place with the Internal	N/A	1.a.i and 1.a.ii are Yes
Revenue Service?	IN/A	1.a.i and 1.a.n are res
iv. If the answer to Question 1.a.iii is "yes", has the school		
made all of the required payments under the payment	NT / A	1
plan as of audited fiscal year end (June 30th)?	N/A	1.a.iii is not Yes.
b. Arizona Department of Revenue A.R.S. §43-401 and §43-		
1111		
i. For payroll taxes, state income taxes (if applicable) and		
applicable tay forms required to be 61-1 levice (1		
applicable tax forms required to be filed during the audited fiscal year?	Yes	
ii. The school did not have any payroll or income taxes		
payable from a prior year(s) as of audited fiscal year end	Yes	
(June 30th) is a true statement.		
iii. If the response to 1.b.i, 1.b.ii, or both is "no", does the		
school have a payment plan in place with the Arizona	N/A	1.b.i and 1.b.ii are Yes
Department of Revenue?		
iv. If the answer to Question 1.b.iii is "yes", has the school		
made all of the required payments under the payment	N/A	1.b.iii is not Yes.
plan as of audited fiscal year end (June 30th)?		
c. Arizona Department of Economic Security A.R.S. § 23-721 et		
seq.		
i. State unemployment contributions requirements for the	Yes	
audited fiscal year?		
ii. The school did not have any state unemployment		
contributions payable from a prior year(s) as of	Yes	
audited fiscal year end (June 30th) is a true	100	
statement.		
iii. If the response to 1.c.i, 1.c.ii, or both is "no", does the		
school have a payment plan in place with the	NI / A	10:00-11-22
Arizona Department of Economic Security?	N/A	1.c.i and 1.c.ii are Yes.
iv. If the answer to Question 1.c.iii is "yes", has the school		
made all of the required payments under the payment	NT / A	
plan as of audited fiscal year end (June 30th)?	N/A	1.c.iii is not Yes.

		Yes/No	Comments
	d. Corporation Commission (e.g., annual report)? Charter Contract	Yes	
2.	Was a copy of the adopted budget submitted electronically to the Superintendent of Public Instruction no later than July 18th? A.R.S. §15-905 (E) and §15-183 (E)(6)	Yes	
3.	Was the Annual Financial Report (AFR) sent to the Superintendent of Public Instruction by October 15th? A.R.S. §15-183 (E) (6) and 15-904 (A)	Yes	
Speci	al Education		
1.	Is the staff the school uses to provide special education services (internal or contracted) certified in special education?	Yes	
2.	Does the school conduct 45 day screenings on all new students? <b>AAC R7-2-401</b>	Yes	
3.	Are evaluations and IEPs on file for special education students? <b>34 CFR 300.341-350 and 300.531-536</b>	Yes	
Class No. 44	sroom Site Fund - A.R.S. §15-977 & OAG Memorandum 4		
1.	Did the school properly allocate Classroom Site Fund receipts among the following projects: 1011—Base Salary (20%), 1012—Performance Pay (40%), and 1013—Other (40%)?	Yes	
2.		Yes	
3.	For Project 1012, were expenses only for performance-based teacher compensation increases and employment-related expenses?	Yes	
4.	For Project 1013, were expenses only for class size reduction, teacher compensation increases, AIMS intervention programs, teacher development, dropout prevention programs, and teacher liability insurance premiums?	Yes	
5.	Did the school use Classroom Site Fund monies to supplement rather than supplant, existing funding from all other sources? (See USFRCS Memorandum No. 44 for guidance on the Classroom Site Fund.)	Yes	
6.	If the school had monies remaining at year-end, were they properly carried forward in the three Classroom Site Projects (1011, 1012, and 1013) to help ensure that the restrictions placed on the original allocation of revenues is applied in future years?	Yes	
7.	Did the school have sufficient cash at year-end to cover the carry over monies, and what was the Classroom Site Fund <u>cash</u> carryover balance at year-end?	Yes	Balance: \$1,926,286

## **Student Attendance Reporting**

If test work performed in questions 3-15 and 18 of this section discloses a net overstatement or understatement of membership and/or absence days, based on A.R.S. and ADE's membership and attendance guidelines, report the net overstatement or understatement in the "Comments" column next to each applicable question.

		Yes/No	Comments
1.	Was school in session for at least 180 days or did the Governing		
	Board adopt a calendar with an equivalent number of minutes	Yes	
	of instruction per school year based on a different number of		
	days of instruction? A.R.S. § 15-341.01		
2.	Did the school ensure that [A.R.S. §§15-808(I)(1) and 15-		
	901(A)(1)]:		
	(Note: Instructional hours do not include periods of the day in		
	which an instructional program or course of study is not being		
	offered, including, but not limited to, lunch, recesses, home		
	room periods, study hall periods, and early release or late start		
	hours.)		
	a. Kindergarten was in session for at least 356 hours or 346	N/A	No grades offered below
	hours for Arizona Online Instruction (AOI) Programs?	·	6th.
	b. Grades 1 through 3 were in session for at least 712 hours?	N/A	No grades offered below 6 <sup>th</sup> .
***************************************	c. Grades 4 through 6 were in session for at least 890 hours?	Yes	0
	d. Grades 7 and 8 were in session for at least 1,000 hours or		
	1,068 hours for AOI Programs?	N/A	All programs were AOI.
	e. Grades 9 through 12, other than AOI Programs, were in	***************************************	
	session at least 720 hours?	N/A	All programs were AOI.
	f. Grades 9 through 12, other than AOI Programs, include at		
	least four subjects, each of which if taught each school day	N/A	All programs were AOI.
	for the minimum number of days required in a school year,	,	
	would meet a minimum of 123 hours a year?		
~~~~	g. Grades 9 through 12 of AOI Programs include at least four		
	courses throughout the year that meet at least 900 hours	Yes	
	during the school year?		

For Student Attendance Reporting questions 3-15, the audit firm must select and test the specified number of transactions (records, entries, withdrawals, or days) as shown in the sample size instructions before each section. These samples should include  $\underline{3}$  or more grade levels and  $\underline{3}$  or more campuses, where applicable. The listed sample sizes represent the minimum level of required test work. The audit firm should use its judgment in determining whether a larger sample is needed. All student attendance records tested in questions 3-15 and 18 should be selected from the  $100^{th}$  day reporting period.

In the parentheses provided in questions 3-15, write the actual number of transactions tested. If all transactions were tested, indicate such in the "Comments" column.

			Yes/No	Comments
7.	elementary and junior high on quarter days, were st three-quarters of the day students in attendance for	(0) students' attendance records at h schools where attendance was based udents in attendance for more than counted in attendance for a day and or three-quarters of the day or less each quarter of the day in attendance?	N/A	All attendance is based o minutes.
	For questions 8 through 1	2, use the following sample sizes:		
	SCHOOLWIDE			
	ADM	Student Attendance Records		
	<1,000	3		
	1,000-5,000	5		
	>5,000	7		
8.	upon review of the attenda (7) students whose attenda	report minutes of attendance, based ance records for a 1 month period for ance was reported in minutes, did the attendance only for actual classroom students?	Yes	
9.	Based upon review of (0) high school students' attendance records, whose attendance was reported in terms of absences, for all absence days reported in a 1 month period, did the school report absences in accordance with the method(s) provided by ADE?		N/A	All attendance is based or minutes.
10.	Based upon review of (7 records, did the school proenrolled in less than four st	') high school students' attendance brate the membership of the students abjects?	Yes	
11.	For schools offering an AO AOI students' attendance re	I Program, based upon a review of (7) ecords for 4 weeks:		
	daily log describing the	roved or school computer-generated amount of time spent by the student aintained by the participating AOI E)	Yes	
	b. Did the hours reporte approved or school com	ed to ADE agree to the guardian- nputer-generated daily log?	Yes	
		participated in an AOI Program,		

	Yes/No	Comments
12. Based upon review of (0) students' attendance records (all grades) for students withdrawn for having ten consecutive unexcused absences, was the student only counted in membership through the last day of actual attendance or excused absence? A.R.S. §15-901(A)(1)	N/A	Unexcused absences do not apply – all students a in AOI program.
For questions 13 and 14, use the following sample sizes:		
SCHOOLWIDE ADM Entries/Withdrawals		
<1,000 5		
1,000-5,000 10		
>5,000 15		
13. Based upon review of (15) entries: ( <b>Note:</b> Enrollment forms are not required for continuing students at the same school.)		
a. Were entry dates entered into the school's computerized attendance system within 5 working days after the actual date of entry and was documentation maintained to support the date of data entry?	Yes	
b. Did the entry date in the computerized attendance system agree to the entry form?	Yes	
c. Did the teacher's attendance registers, if used, and other documentation support the entry date in the computerized attendance system?	Yes	
d. Did membership begin on the first day of actual attendance or, for continuing/pre-enrolled students, the first day that classroom instruction was offered, provided that the students actually attend within the first 10 days of school?	Yes	
e. Did the school obtain and maintain verifiable documentation of Arizona residency upon enrollment? A.R.S. §15-802(B)(1)	Yes	
f. If a student was not an Arizona resident, was the student	N/A	No students were found t

			Yes/No	Comments
14. Bas	sed upon review of (15) withd	rawals:		
a.	computerized attendance systhe actual day of withdrawaintained to support the dawithdrawain for determining later of the student's withdrawaintained the student will not be actually support the student will not be actually support to the support the student will not be actually support to the support to the support to the support the support to the su	tes entered into the school's stem within 5 working days after awal and was documentation ate of data entry? ( <b>Note:</b> "Day of g timely data entry means: a. the twal date or the day the school is be returning; or b. the 10th day of ents withdrawn for having ten aces.)	Yes	
Ъ.	the withdrawal form? (Note: system requires the school withdrawal date for a studenthrough the last day of actual	the attendance system agree to a If the computerized attendance to input the day following the notate to be counted in membership attendance or excused absence, system should be the school day the on the form.)	Yes	
c. Did the teachers' attendance registers, if used, and other supporting documentation agree to the withdrawal date in the computerized attendance system?		Yes		
d. Was an Official Notice of Pupil Withdrawal form prepared and retained for each withdrawal and signed by a school administrator? A.R.S. §15-827		Yes		
	For question 15, use the foll	owing sample sizes:		
	SCHOOLWIDE			
	ADM	Days		
	<1,000	3		
	1,000-5,000	5		
	>5,000	7		
clas abs	sses in the computerized atter ences from each day agree isters, absence slips, or other	r various campuses, grades, and additional dance system, did the student to the teachers' attendance supporting documentation, if	Yes	

	Yes/No	Comments
16. Did the school have adequate electronic or manual controls in place to ensure that any changes to the original record of student attendance data were properly authorized and documented, including the names or identification numbers of the persons making and authorizing the changes?		
17. Was the school's membership/absence information submitted to ADE electronically at least once every 20 school days for membership and 60 days for absence information through the last day of instruction (with the first 20 and 60 day periods beginning on the first day of school or the opening of SAIS for current fiscal year data submission, whichever is later)? A.R.S. §§15-901(A)(1) and 15-1042(H)	Yes	
18. Based upon review of the school's 40th and 100th day information uploaded to ADE (ADMS 75-1), did the membership and absences agree to the school's computerized attendance system records? (Note: For an AOI program, review year-end attendance information.)	Yes	
	Yes/No	Comments
Open Meeting Law A.R.S. § 38-431.01 and § 38-431.02 (See also Attorney General Opinion I00-009)		
<ol> <li>Did the school conspicuously post a statement on its website stating where all public notices of its meetings will be posted, including the physical and electronic locations?</li> </ol>	Yes	
2. Did the school post all public meeting notices on its website?	Yes	
3. Did the school maintain a record of notices that includes a copy of each notice that was posted and information regarding the date, time and place of posting?	Yes	
4. Were notices and agenda of public meetings posted at least 24 hours before the meeting?	Yes	
5. Were written minutes prepared or a recording made of Governing Body meetings?	Yes	
Insurance Requirements A.R.S. §15-183(M)		
Does the school have the required insurance for liability and property loss?	Yes	

	Yes/No	Comments
Tuition A.R.S. §15-185 (B)(6) (See also Attorney General Opinion 198-007)		
Did the school refrain from charging fees that may be considered tuition other than as provided for in A.R.S. §15-185(B)(6) [nonresidents]?	Yes	
Records Management		
<ol> <li>Did the school retain records in accordance with the General Retention Schedules for Education – K-12 published by the Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records (based on the testing conducted during the course of the audit)? (www.azlibrary.gov/records/general.aspx)</li> </ol>	Yes	
2. Was adequate documentation retained to support amounts in the financial statements (if the school is not the primary reporting entity - was adequate documentation retained to support revenue and expenses in the charter school)?	Yes	

This Questionnaire was completed in accordance with the minimum standards as set forth in the instructions on pages 2 and 3.

Klecka, Wilkins & Klecka	November 14, 2014
Audit Firm	Date
alun Elento	Partner
Preparer's Signature (Audit Firm Representative)	Title